

STOCHASTIC PDEs WITH FUNCTION-VALUED SOLUTIONS^{*)}

ANNA KARCZEWSKA¹ AND JERZY ZABCZYK²

¹ Institute of Mathematics
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University
pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1
20-031 Lublin, Poland
e-mail: akarcz@golem.umcs.lublin.pl

² Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
Śniadeckich 8
00-950 Warszawa, Poland
e-mail: zabczyk@impan.gov.pl

Abstract

The paper provides necessary and sufficient conditions under which stochastic heat and wave equations on \mathbb{R}^d have function-valued solutions. The results extend, to all dimensions d and to all spatially homogeneous perturbations, recent characterizations by Dalang and Frangos [DaFr]. The paper proposes a natural framework for a study of nonlinear stochastic equations. It is based on the harmonic analysis technique and on the stochastic integration theory in functional spaces. Generalizations to the d -dimensional torus and to nonlinear equations are discussed as well.

1 Introduction

The paper is concerned with the stochastic heat and wave equations:

⁰1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 60H15; Secondary: 30R60, 60H30.

Key words and phrases. Stochastic heat and wave equations, function-valued solutions, equations on a torus.

^{*)} Research supported by KBN Grant No. 2PO3A 082 08

2) The first draft of the paper was prepared when the author was visiting Scuola Normale Superiore in Pisa, in Spring 1997.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, \theta) = \Delta u(t, \theta) + \frac{\partial W_\Gamma}{\partial t}(t, \theta), & t > 0, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, \theta) = 0, & \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t, \theta) = \Delta u(t, \theta) + \frac{\partial W_\Gamma}{\partial t}(t, \theta), & t > 0, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, \theta) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, \theta) = 0, & \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where W_Γ is a spatially homogeneous Wiener process with the space correlation Γ . The correlation Γ can be any positive definite distribution. It defines the covariance operator of the Wiener process by the formula $Q\varphi = \Gamma * \varphi$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

It is well-known, see [Wa], that if $\frac{\partial W_\Gamma}{\partial t}$ is a space-time white noise, or equivalently if $\Gamma = \delta_{\{o\}}$, then the equations (1.1), (1.2) have function-valued solutions if and only if the space dimension $d = 1$. It is therefore of interest to find out in dimensions $d \geq 1$ for what space-correlated noise, equations (1.1) and (1.2), have function-valued solutions. This problem has been recently investigated, for stochastic wave equation, by Dalang and Frangos [DaFr], see also Mueller [Mu], when $d = 2$. Let $W_\Gamma(t, \theta)$, $t \geq 0, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^2$, be a Wiener process with a space correlation function Γ :

$$\mathbb{E}W_\Gamma(t, \theta)W_\Gamma(s, \eta) = t \wedge s \Gamma(\theta - \eta), \quad \theta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $\Gamma(\theta) = f(|\theta|)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and f is non-negative function, continuous outside 0. It has been shown in [DaFr] that the stochastic wave equation (1.2) has a function-valued solution if and only if

$$\int_{|\theta| \leq 1} f(|\theta|) \ln \frac{1}{|\theta|} d\theta < +\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

The proof in [DaFr] is based on explicit representation of the fundamental solution of the deterministic wave equation in dimension $d = 2$ and can not be extended to higher dimensions.

In the present note we treat the general case of *arbitrary dimension d and of arbitrary spatially homogeneous noise* for both *stochastic heat and wave equations*. Spatially homogeneous noise processes were introduced by Holley and Stroock [HoSt] and Dawson and Salehi [DaSa] in connection with particle systems, see also Nobel [No], Da Prato and Zabczyk [DaPrZa1] and Peszat and Zabczyk [PeZa] for more recent investigations. We consider also equations (1.1) and (1.2) on the d -dimensional torus T^d . It is interesting that for both equations, (1.1) and (1.2) on \mathbb{R}^d and on T^d , the *necessary and sufficient conditions are exactly the same*. Obtained characterizations form a natural framework in which *nonlinear heat and wave equations* can be studied.

Similar results can be formulated for linear parts of Navier-Stokes equations and other equations of fluid dynamics. Techniques developed in the paper apply also to equations (1.1) and (1.2) with Δ replaced by fractional Laplacien $-(-\Delta)^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$. However, those generalizations are not studied here.

To formulate our main theorems let us recall, see [GeVi], that positive definite, tempered distributions Γ are precisely Fourier transforms of tempered measures μ . The measure μ will be called the *spectral measure* of Γ and of the process W_Γ .

Theorem 1. *Let Γ be a positive definite, tempered distribution on \mathbb{R}^d , with the spectral measure μ . Then the equations (1.1) and (1.2) have function-valued solutions if and only if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) < +\infty. \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 2. *Assume that Γ is not only a positive definite distribution but also a non-negative measure. The equations (1.1) and (1.2) have function-valued solutions:*

- i) for all Γ if $d = 1$;*
- ii) for exactly those Γ for which $\int_{|\theta| \leq 1} \ln |\theta| \Gamma(d\theta) < +\infty$ if $d = 2$;*
- iii) for exactly those Γ for which $\int_{|\theta| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|\theta|^{d-2}} \Gamma(d\theta) < +\infty$ if $d \geq 3$.*

Note that condition (1.3) is a special case of ii).

Similar theorems hold for stochastic heat and wave equations on the d -dimensional torus, see Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 in §5.

The paper is organized as follows. Preliminaries and formulation of the problem will be given in section 2. Section 3 contains proofs of the results for the case of \mathbb{R}^d . Applications are discussed in section 4. Extensions to d -dimensional torus are contained in section 5. We finish the paper with two conjectures in section 6.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Heat and wave semigroups

Let $S_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space of all infinitely differentiable functions ψ on \mathbb{R}^d taking complex values, for which the seminorms

$$\|\psi\|_{\alpha, \beta} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x^\alpha D^\beta \psi(x)|$$

are finite. The adjoint space $S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is then the space of tempered distributions. By $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote the spaces of real functions from $S_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the space of real functionals on $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For $\psi \in S_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ define $\psi_{(s)}(x) = \overline{\psi(-x)}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By $S_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $S'_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the spaces of $\psi \in S_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\psi(x) = \psi_{(s)}(x)$ and the space of all $\xi \in S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $(\xi, \psi) = (\xi, \psi_{(s)})$ for all $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

If \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform on $S_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\mathcal{F}(\psi)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{t\langle x, \lambda \rangle} \psi(x) dx, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \psi \in S_c(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

then its inverse \mathcal{F}^{-1} is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t\langle x, \lambda \rangle} \psi(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \psi \in S_c(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We use the same notation for the Fourier transforms acting on $S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Note that the operators \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} transform $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto $S'_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $S'_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively. The Fourier transforms of $\varphi \in S_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\xi \in S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ will be denoted by $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\xi}$.

Consider first the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u, \quad t \geq 0 \quad u(0) = \xi \quad (2.1)$$

where $\xi \in S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If \hat{u} denotes the Fourier transform of u then

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t} = -|\lambda|^2 \hat{u} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}(0) = \hat{\xi},$$

and therefore

$$\hat{u}(t) = e^{-|\lambda|^2 t} \hat{\xi}.$$

Consequently, for arbitrary $\xi \in S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, equation (2.1) has a unique solution in $S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the solution is given by the formula

$$u(t, x) = p(t) * \xi(x) = (\xi, p(t, x - \cdot)) \quad (2.2)$$

where $\hat{p}(t)(\lambda) = e^{-t|\lambda|^2}$, $p(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(4\pi t)^d}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$, $t > 0$, $\lambda, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The family

$$S(t)\xi = p(t) * \xi, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \xi \in S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (2.3)$$

forms a semigroup of operators, continuous in the topology of $S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The formula (2.2) shows that the semigroup $S(t)$, $t \geq 0$ has a smoothing property: for all $\xi \in S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $S(t)\xi$ is represented by C^∞ function.

Similarly, for the wave equation,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = v, \quad u(0) = \xi$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta u, \quad v(0) = \zeta,$$

one gets, passing again to the Fourier transforms \hat{u} and \hat{v} , that:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t} = \hat{v}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{v}}{\partial t} = -|\lambda|^2 \hat{u}.$$

By direct computation we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(|\lambda|t), & \frac{\sin(|\lambda|t)}{|\lambda|} \\ -|\lambda| \sin(|\lambda|t), & \cos(|\lambda|t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi} \\ \hat{\zeta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{u}(t) = [\cos(|\lambda|t)]\hat{u}(0) + \left[\frac{\sin(|\lambda|t)}{|\lambda|} \right] \hat{v}(0), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\hat{v}(t) = -[|\lambda| \sin(|\lambda|t)]\hat{u}(0) + [\cos(|\lambda|t)]\hat{v}(0). \quad (2.5)$$

Note that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ functions $\cos(|\lambda|t)$, $\frac{\sin(|\lambda|t)}{|\lambda|}$ and $|\lambda| \sin(|\lambda|t)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$, are smooth and polynomially bounded together with all their partial derivatives. Therefore the formulae (2.4), (2.5) define distributions belonging to $S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $p_{1,1}(t)$, $p_{1,2}(t)$, $p_{2,1}(t)$, $p_{2,2}(t)$ $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$, be elements from $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that,

$$\cos(|\lambda|t) = \mathcal{F}(p_{1,1}(t))(\lambda), \quad \frac{\sin(|\lambda|t)}{|\lambda|} = \mathcal{F}(p_{1,2}(t))(\lambda)$$

$$-|\lambda| \sin(|\lambda|t) = \mathcal{F}(p_{2,1}(t))(\lambda), \quad \cos(|\lambda|t) = \mathcal{F}(p_{2,2}(t))(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then

$$u(t) = p_{1,1}(t) * u(0) + p_{1,2}(t) * v(0),$$

$$v(t) = p_{2,1}(t) * u(0) + p_{2,2}(t) * v(0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As for the heat equation, explicit formulae for the distributions $p_{i,j}(t)$, $i, j = 1, 2$ are known, see [Mi, pp. 280–282]. In particular, they have bounded supports.

We shall use the following notation

$$R(t)\xi = p_{1,2}(t) * \xi, \quad t \geq 0, \xi \in S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (2.6)$$

2.2 Spatially homogeneous Wiener process

Let Γ be a positive definite, tempered distribution. By W_Γ we denote an $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued Wiener process defined on a probability space (Ω, F, \mathbb{P}) such that

$$\mathbb{E}(W(t), \varphi)(W(s), \psi) = t \wedge s(\Gamma, \varphi * \psi_{(s)}),$$

where $\psi_{(s)}(x) = \overline{\psi(-x)}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, see [PeZa]. It is well-known that this way one can describe all space homogeneous $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued Wiener processes, see e.g. [PeZa].

The crucial role for stochastic integration with respect to W_Γ is played by the Hilbert space $S'_\Gamma \subset S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of all distributions $\xi \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which there exists a constant C such that,

$$|(\xi, \psi)| \leq C \sqrt{(\Gamma, \psi * \psi_{(s)})}, \quad \psi \in S.$$

The norm in S'_Γ is given by the formula:

$$|\xi|_{S'_\Gamma} = \sup_{\psi \in S} \frac{|(\xi, \psi)|}{\sqrt{(\Gamma, \psi * \psi_{(s)})}}.$$

The space S'_Γ is called the *kernel* of W_Γ , see [PeZa].

Let H be a Hilbert space and let $L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, H)$ be the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from S'_Γ into H . Assume that Ψ is a predictable $L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, H)$ -valued process such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \|\Psi(s)\|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, H)}^2 ds \right) < +\infty \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Then the stochastic integral

$$\int_0^t \Psi(s) dW_\Gamma(s), \quad t \geq 0$$

can be defined in a standard way, see [Itô], [DaPrZa], [PeZa]. It is an H -valued martingale for which

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \Psi(s) dW_\Gamma(s) \right) = 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \Psi(s) dW_\Gamma(s) \right|_H^2 = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \|\Psi(s)\|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, H)}^2 ds \right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

We will need a characterization of the space S'_Γ from [PeZa, Proposition 1.2]. In the proposition below $L_{(s)}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$ denotes the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu; \mathbb{C})$ consisting of all functions u such that $u_{(s)} = u$, see §2.1.

Proposition 1. *A distribution ξ belongs to S'_Γ if and only if $\xi = \widehat{u\mu}$ for some $u \in L_{(s)}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$. Moreover, if $\xi = \widehat{u\mu}$ and $\eta = \widehat{v\mu}$, then*

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{S'_\Gamma} = \langle u, v \rangle_{L_{(s)}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)}.$$

2.3 Questions

By a *solution* X to the *stochastic heat equation* we understand the process

$$X(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s) dW_\Gamma(ds), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where $S(\cdot)$ is given by (2.3). Similarly, *solution* Y to the *stochastic wave equation* is of the form

$$Y(t) = \int_0^t R(t-s) dW_\Gamma(ds), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.8)$$

with $R(\cdot)$ defined by (2.6). It is not difficult to show that the processes $X(t)$, $t \geq 0$, and $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$, are weak solutions of the corresponding equations and take values in $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see [Itô].

Let us recall that a family $Z(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, of real random variables is called a *stationary, Gaussian, random field* if and only if, Z is a measurable transformation from $\mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega$ into \mathbb{R} and for arbitrary $h, x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$, random vectors $(Z(x_1 + h), \dots, Z(x_m + h))$ are Gaussian with the law independent of h .

The main questions considered in the paper can be stated as follows.

Question 1. Under what conditions on Γ , for each $t \geq 0$, $X(t)$ is a stationary, Gaussian random field?

Question 2. Under what conditions on Γ , for each $t \geq 0$, $Y(t)$ is a stationary, Gaussian random field?

Note that if Z is a stationary, Gaussian random field then, for all positive, integrable functions $\rho(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Z^2(x) \rho(x) dx \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathbb{E} Z^2(x)) \rho(x) dx = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) dx \right) \mathbb{E}(Z^2(o)) < +\infty.$$

Consequently, $\mathbb{P}(Z \in L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) = 1$, where $L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho(x)dx)$ and the questions can be reformulated as follows.

Question 1. Under what conditions on Γ the process X takes values in $L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some (any) positive integrable weight ρ ?

Question 2. Under what conditions on Γ the process Y takes values in $L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some (any) positive integrable weight ρ ?

Answers to these questions have been formulated in the Introduction as Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. The case of d -dimensional forms T^d is treated in §5.

3 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.

(a) Stochastic heat equation

Let us recall that we denote by S'_Γ the kernel of the Wiener process W_Γ and that

$$S(t)\xi = p(t) * \xi, \quad t \geq 0.$$

It follows from §2.2 that the stochastic integral

$$\int_0^t S(t-s) dW_\Gamma(s), \quad t > 0$$

takes values in $L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if

$$\int_0^t \| S(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, L_\rho^2)}^2 d\sigma < +\infty.$$

Let $\{u_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis in $L_{(s)}^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$. Then by Proposition 1.2 of [PeZa], $e_k = \widehat{u_k \mu}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is an orthonormal basis in S'_Γ .

Thus we have

$$\| S(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, L_\rho^2)}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |S(\sigma) \widehat{u_k \mu}|_{L_\rho^2}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p(\sigma) * \widehat{u_k \mu}(x)|^2 \rho(x) dx, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

However, $p(\sigma) \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and therefore

$$p(\sigma) * \widehat{u_k \mu}(x) = (p(\sigma, x - \cdot), \widehat{u_k \mu}) = (u_k \mu, \hat{p}(\sigma, x - \cdot)).$$

The last identity follows from the definition of the Fourier transform of the distribution $u_k \mu$. However,

$$\hat{p}(\sigma, x - \cdot)(\lambda) = e^{i\langle x, \lambda \rangle} e^{-\sigma|\lambda|^2}$$

and therefore

$$(u_k \mu, \hat{p}(\sigma, x - \cdot)) = (u_k \mu, e^{i\langle x, \cdot \rangle} e^{-\sigma|\cdot|^2}).$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \| S(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma L^2_\rho)}^2 &= \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| (u_k \mu, e^{i\langle x, \cdot \rangle} e^{-\sigma|\cdot|^2}) \right|^2 \rho(x) dx \\ &= \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_k(\lambda) e^{i\langle x, \lambda \rangle} e^{-\sigma|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) \right|^2 \rho(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\sum_k \left| \langle u_k, e^{-i\langle x, \cdot \rangle} e^{-\sigma|\cdot|^2} \rangle_{L^2_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)} \right|^2 \right] \rho(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the Parseval identity in $L^2_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$,

$$\sum_k \left| \langle u_k, e^{-i\langle x, \cdot \rangle} e^{-\sigma|\cdot|^2} \rangle_{L^2_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)} \right|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| e^{-i\langle x, \lambda \rangle} e^{-\sigma|\lambda|^2} \right|^2 \mu(d\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\sigma|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \| S(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma L^2_\rho)}^2 d\sigma &= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) dx \right] \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\sigma|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) d\sigma = \\ &= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) dx \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1 - e^{-2t|\lambda|^2}}{|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^t \| S(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma L^2_\rho)}^2 d\sigma < +\infty$$

if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) < +\infty.$$

(b) Stochastic wave equation

Let us recall that $R(\sigma)\xi = p_{1,2}(\sigma) * \xi$, $\sigma \geq 0$, $\xi \in S'_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see (2.6). The process $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is well defined as an $L^2_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued process if and only if

$$\int_0^t \| R(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, L^2_\rho)}^2 d\sigma < +\infty.$$

But

$$\| R(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, L^2_\rho)}^2 = \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p_{1,2}(\sigma) * \widehat{u_k \mu}(x)|^2 \rho(x) dx.$$

However

$$p_{1,2}(\sigma) * \widehat{u_k \mu}(x) = (p_{1,2}(\sigma, x - \cdot), \widehat{u_k \mu}) = (\hat{p}_{1,2}(\sigma)(x - \cdot), u_k \mu). \quad (3.1)$$

To justify the identity (3.1) we need the following lemma, see [GeSh].

Lemma 1. *Let ξ and η be distributions with bounded supports. Then the convolution $\xi * \hat{\eta}$ exists and is a function of class C^∞ . Moreover*

$$\xi * \hat{\eta}(x) = (\hat{\xi}(x - \cdot), \eta), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Note that the distribution $p_{1,2}$ has bounded support and one can assume also that functions u_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, have bounded supports as well.

But

$$\hat{p}_{1,2}(\sigma)(x - \cdot)(\lambda) = e^{i\langle x, \lambda \rangle} \frac{\sin(|\lambda|\sigma)}{|\lambda|}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \| R(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, L^2_\rho)}^2 &= \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \left(u_k \mu, e^{i\langle x, \cdot \rangle} \frac{\sin(|\cdot|\sigma)}{|\cdot|} \right) \right|^2 \rho(x) dx = \\ &= \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \left\langle u_k, e^{i\langle x, \cdot \rangle} \frac{\sin(|\cdot|\sigma)}{|\cdot|} \right\rangle_{L^2_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)} \right|^2 \rho(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by the Parseval identity,

$$\| R(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, L^2_\rho)}^2 = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) dx \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(\sin(|\lambda|\sigma))^2}{|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda).$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^t \| R(\sigma) \|_{L_{HS}(S'_\Gamma, L^2_\rho)}^2 d\sigma = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) dx \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\int_0^t \frac{(\sin(|\lambda|\sigma))^2}{|\lambda|^2} d\sigma \right] \mu(d\lambda).$$

By an elementary argument one shows now that the integral is finite, for all $t > 0$, if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) < +\infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2.

Let

$$G_d(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} p(t, x) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where

$$p(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(4\pi t)^d}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Thus G_d is the resolvent kernel of the d -dimensional Wiener process. It is easy to see that

$$G_d(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\langle x, \lambda \rangle} \frac{1}{1 + |\lambda|^2} d\lambda, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The following properties of G_d are well-known, see [La], [GlJa], [GeSh]:

Proposition 2. *One has that:*

$$G_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^1; \quad G_3(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi|x|} e^{-|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

and, in general, for $d \geq 2$,

$$G_d(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} K_{\frac{d-2}{2}}(|x|),$$

where K_γ , $\gamma \geq 0$, denotes the modified Bessel function of the third order.

We will need also a characterization of the behaviour of G_d near 0 and near ∞ , see [GlJa, Proposition 7.2.1].

Proposition 3. *The function G_d has the following properties:*

(a) *for $d \geq 1$, for $|x|$ bounded away from a neighbourhood of zero and for a constant $c > 0$*

$$G_d(x) \leq \frac{c}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} e^{-|x|};$$

(b) *for $d \geq 3$ and for a constant $c > 0$, in a neighbourhood of zero*

$$G_d(x) \sim \frac{c}{|x|^{d-2}};$$

(c) *for $d = 2$ and for a constant $c > 0$, in a neighbourhood of zero*

$$G_2(x) \sim -c \ln |x|.$$

We will need also the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Assume that the distribution Γ is not only positive definite but it is also a non-negative measure. Then*

$$(\Gamma, G_d) = (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda).$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Since $\mu = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Gamma)$ and $e^{-t|\cdot|^2} \frac{1}{1+|\cdot|^2} \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by the definition of the Fourier transform of a distribution,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{-t|\lambda|^2}}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) &= \left(\frac{e^{-t|\cdot|^2}}{1 + |\cdot|^2}, \mu \right) = \left(\frac{e^{-t|\cdot|^2}}{1 + |\cdot|^2}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Gamma) \right) = \\ &= \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{e^{-t|\cdot|^2}}{1 + |\cdot|^2} \right), \Gamma \right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (p(t) * G_d, \Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (p(t) * G_d, \Gamma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda).$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} p(s) * G_d &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} p(t) * p(s) dt = \\ &= e^s \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-(t+s)} p(t+s) dt = e^s \int_s^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma} p(\sigma) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$e^{-s} p(s) * G_d = \int_s^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma} p(\sigma) d\sigma$$

and then

$$e^{-s} p(s) * G_d \uparrow G_d \quad \text{as } s \downarrow 0.$$

Hence, if Γ is a non-negative distribution on \mathbb{R}^d , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} e^{-t} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (p(t) * G_d, \Gamma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (G_d, \Gamma).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2. ■

We pass now to the proof of the theorem. It is well-known that a non-negative measure Γ belongs to $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if for some $r > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+|x|^r} \Gamma(dx) < +\infty. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, for arbitrary $d \geq 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_d(x) \Gamma(dx) = \int_{|x| \leq 1} G_d(x) \Gamma(dx) + \int_{|x| > 1} G_d(x) \Gamma(dx).$$

But, by Proposition 3 (a),

$$\int_{|x| > 1} G_d(x) \Gamma(dx) \leq c \int_{|x| > 1} e^{-|x|} \Gamma(dx)$$

and from (3.2)

$$\int_{|x| > 1} G_d(x) \Gamma(dx) < +\infty.$$

Since the function G_1 is continuous,

$$\int_{|x| \leq 1} G_1(x) \Gamma(dx) < +\infty$$

and the theorem is true for $d=1$.

If $d=2$ then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_2(x) \Gamma(dx) < +\infty$ if and only if $\int_{|x| \leq 1} G_2(x) \Gamma(dx) < +\infty$. But $G_2(x) \sim c \ln \frac{1}{|x|}$ for some $c > 0$ in the neighbourhood of 0, so

$$G_2(x)/c \ln \frac{1}{|x|} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, for some $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$:

$$c_2 \ln \frac{1}{|x|} \leq G_2(x) \leq c_1 \ln \frac{1}{|x|}, \text{ for } |x| \leq 1.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_2(x) \Gamma(dx) < +\infty \text{ if and only if } \int_{|x| \leq 1} \ln \frac{1}{|x|} \Gamma(dx) < +\infty.$$

If $d \geq 3$, in the same way,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_d(x) \Gamma(dx) < +\infty \text{ if and only if } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} \Gamma(dx) < +\infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

4 Applications

We illustrate the main results by several examples. We start with the case of bounded functions Γ .

Proposition 4. *If the positive definite distribution Γ is a bounded function then the equations (1.1) and (1.2) have function-valued solutions in any dimension d .*

Proof: If the positive definite distribution Γ is a bounded function then Γ is a continuous function and the corresponding spectral measure μ is finite. Since the function $\frac{1}{1+|\lambda|^2}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is bounded therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) < +\infty$$

and by Theorem 1 the result follows. ■

Stochastic evolution equations with noise of such type have been introduced by Dawson and Salahi [DaSa] with an extra requirement that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d . In the case of $d=2$ they have appeared in the recent paper by Mueller [Mu].

Example 1. It is well-known that functions $\Gamma(x) = e^{-|x|^\alpha}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ are positive definite. In fact, they are Fourier transforms of the so called symmetric stable distributions, see [La] or [Fe]. Consequently with such covariances Γ the equations (1.1) and (1.2) have function-valued solutions.

We consider now some examples of unbounded covariances Γ .

Proposition 5. *For arbitrary $\alpha \in (0, d)$ the function $\Gamma_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a positive definite distribution. Equations (1.1) and (1.2) with the covariance Γ_α have function-valued solutions if and only if $\alpha \in (0, 2 \wedge d)$.*

Proof. It is well-known, see [Mi], [GeSh] or [La], that Γ_α is the Fourier transform of the function $c_1 \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{d-\alpha}}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where c_1 is a positive constant. The condition (1.4) is equivalent to

$$I := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+|\lambda|^2} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{d-\alpha}} d\lambda < +\infty.$$

By standard calculation

$$I = c_2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(1+r^2)} \frac{1}{r^{d-\alpha}} r^{d-1} dr,$$

where c_2 is a constant. One obtains, that $I < +\infty$ if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^{3-\alpha}} dr < +\infty,$$

or equivalently, $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha < 2$. Since $\alpha \in (0, d)$, the result follows. ■

Remark: Note that Proposition 5 contains, as a special case, an application from the paper [DaFr, see Examples].

We pass now to examples for which Γ are genuine distributions.

Example 2. If $\frac{\partial W_\Gamma}{\partial t}$ is the space-time white noise then $\Gamma = \delta_{\{o\}}$ and the corresponding spectral measure μ has a constant density, say $c > 0$. Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1 + |\lambda|^2} d\lambda < +\infty$$

if and only if $d = 1$, the equations (1.1) and (1.2), perturbed by such noise, have function-valued solutions iff $d = 1$.

Example 3. Walsh [Wa], in his study of particle systems, arrived at the following equation for fluctuations:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, \xi) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}(t, \xi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} W_{\delta_{\{o\}}}(t, \xi) \right] \quad (4.1)$$

$$u(0, \xi) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

It is easy to calculate that the covariance function corresponding to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} W_{\delta_{\{o\}}}(t, \xi)$, $t \geq 0$, is $\Gamma = -\delta''_{\{o\}}$ and the appropriate spectral measure μ has the following density $d_\mu(\lambda) = \lambda^2 d\lambda$.

Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^2} \lambda^2 d\lambda = +\infty$$

the equation (4.1) does not have a function-valued solution. This fact has already been noticed by Walsh [Wa].

5 Equations on d -dimensional torus

Many of the previous considerations can be extended from \mathbb{R}^d to stochastic equations on more general groups. As an illustration we discuss here the case of d -dimensional torus T^d , for more details we refer to [KaZa]. The d -dimensional torus T^d can be identified with the Cartesian product, $(-\pi, \pi]^d$, regarded as a group with the addition modulo 2π (coordinate-wise). We assume that W_Γ is a $D'(T^d)$ -valued Wiener process

spatially homogeneous with the space correlation Γ . Distribution Γ can be uniquely expanded into its Fourier series

$$\Gamma(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{i\langle n, \theta \rangle} \gamma_n$$

with the non-negative coefficients such that $\gamma_n = \gamma_{-n}$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\gamma_n}{1+|n|^{+\infty}} < r$ for some $r > 0$.

Denote $\mathbb{Z}_s^1 = \mathbb{N}$ and, by induction, $\mathbb{Z}_s^{d+1} = (\mathbb{Z}_s^1 \times \mathbb{Z}^d) \cup \{(0, n); n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d\}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}^d = \mathbb{Z}_s^d \cup (-\mathbb{Z}_s^d) \cup \{0\}$.

The corresponding spatially homogeneous Wiener process $W(t)$, $t \geq 0$ can be represented in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} W(t, \theta) &= \sqrt{\gamma_0} \beta_0(t) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d} \sqrt{2\gamma_n} ((\cos \langle n, \theta \rangle) \beta_n^1(t) + (\sin \langle n, \theta \rangle) \beta_n^2(t)), \\ \theta &\in T^d, \quad t \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\beta_0, \beta_n^1, \beta_n^2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d$ are independent, real Brownian motions and the convergence is in the sense of $D'(T^d)$.

Denote $H = H^0 = L^2(T^d)$, $H^\alpha = H^\alpha(T^d)$ and $H^{-\alpha} = H^{-\alpha}(T^d)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the real Sobolev spaces of order α and $-\alpha$, respectively. The norms are expressed in terms of the Fourier coefficients, see [Ad]

$$\|\xi\|_{H^{-\alpha}} = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1+|n|^2)^\alpha |\xi_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(|\xi_0|^2 + 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d} (1+|n|^2)^\alpha ((\xi_n^1)^2 + (\xi_n^2)^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\|\xi\|_{H^{-\alpha}} = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1+|n|^2)^{-\alpha} |\xi_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(|\xi_0|^2 + 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d} (1+|n|^2)^{-\alpha} ((\xi_n^1)^2 + (\xi_n^2)^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\xi_n = \xi_n^1 + i\xi_n^2$, $\xi_n = \bar{\xi}_{-n}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

We have the following result

Theorem 3. *Equations (1.1) and (1.2) on the torus T^d have $H^{\alpha+1}(T^d)$ -valued solution if and only if the Fourier coefficients (γ_n) of the kernel Γ satisfy:*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\gamma_n}{(1 + |n|^2)^\alpha} < +\infty. \quad (5.2)$$

Remark: Recently, the problem of existence of solution to stochastic wave equation in $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has been recently considered by Gaveau [Ga].

As in the case of \mathbb{R}^d , condition (5.1) can be written in a more explicit way.

Theorem 4. *Assume that Γ is not only a positive definite distribution but is also a non-negative measure. Then equations (1.1) and (1.2) have function-valued solutions:*

- i) for all Γ if $d = 1$;*
- ii) for exactly those Γ for which $\int_{|\theta| \leq 1} \ln |\theta| \Gamma(d\theta) < +\infty$ if $d = 2$;*
- iii) for exactly those Γ for which $\int_{|\theta| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|\theta|^{d-2}} \Gamma(d\theta) < +\infty$ if $d \geq 3$.*

The proofs of both theorems are similar to those for \mathbb{R}^d . For details we refer to our preprint [KaZa].

In fact the proof of Theorem 3 can be done in a different way by taking into account the expansion (5.1) of the Wiener process W , with respect to the basis $1, \cos\langle n, \theta \rangle, \sin\langle n, \theta \rangle, n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d, \theta \in T^d$. Equations (1.1) and (1.2) can be solved coordinatwise with the following explicit formulae for the solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} X(t, \theta) &= \sqrt{\gamma_0} \beta_0(t) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d} \sqrt{2\gamma_n} \left[\cos\langle n, \theta \rangle \int_0^t e^{-|n|^2(t-s)} d\beta_n^1(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sin\langle n, \theta \rangle \int_0^t e^{-|n|^2(t-s)} d\beta_n^2(s) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t, \theta) &= \sqrt{\gamma_0} \beta_0(s) ds + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d} \sqrt{2\gamma_n} \left[\cos\langle n, \theta \rangle \int_0^t \frac{\sin(|n|(t-s))}{|n|} d\beta_n^1(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sin\langle n, \theta \rangle \int_0^t \frac{\sin |n|(t-s)}{|n|} d\beta_n^2(s) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|X(t)|_H^2 &= (2\pi)^d \left[\gamma_0 t + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d} 2\gamma_n \int_0^t e^{-2|n|^2 s} ds \right], \\ \mathbb{E}|Y(t)|_H^2 &= (2\pi)^d \left[\gamma_0 \frac{t^3}{3} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_s^d} \frac{2\gamma_n}{|n|^2} \int_0^t \sin^2(|n|s) ds \right], \quad t \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since, for arbitrary $t > 0$,

$$|n|^2 \int_0^t e^{-2|n|^2 s} ds \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{as } |n| \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\int_0^t \sin^2(|n|s) ds \rightarrow \int_0^t \sin^2 \sigma d\sigma, \quad \text{as } |n| \rightarrow +\infty,$$

therefore $\mathbb{E}|X(t)|_H^2 < +\infty$, $\mathbb{E}|Y(t)|_H^2 < +\infty$ if and only if $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(s)}^d} \frac{\gamma_n}{|n|^2} < +\infty$, as required, ($\alpha = 0$).

Expansions (5.1), (5.2) lead also to more refined results.

Theorem 5. *Assume that*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\gamma_n}{1 + |n|^\alpha} < +\infty,$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Then solutions $X(t)$, $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$ are Hölder continuous with respect to $t > 0$ and $\theta \in T^d$ with any exponent smaller than $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{4}$.

The theorem is a consequence of Theorem 5.20 and Theorem 5.22 from [DaPrZa]. For the case of R^2 and the stochastic wave equation a similar result was obtained in [DaFr].

We finish the section with some applications of Theorems 3 and 4.

Corollary 1. Assume that $\Gamma \in L^2(T^d)$ and $d = 1, 2, 3$. Then the stochastic heat and wave equations (1.1) and (1.2) have solutions with values in $L^2(T^d)$.

Corollary 2. Assume that for some $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $\hat{\Gamma} \in l^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. If $d < \frac{2p}{p-1}$, then the stochastic heat and wave equations (1.1) and (1.2) have solutions in $L^2(T^d)$.

6 Conjectures

Taking into account Theorem 1 it is natural to expect that the following conjecture is true.

Conjecture 1. *If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) < +\infty$ and functions $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz then nonlinear heat equation*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t, \theta)}{\partial t} = \Delta u(t, \theta) + g(u(t, \theta)) + b(u(t, \theta)) \frac{\partial W_\Gamma}{\partial t}(t, \theta), & t > 0, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, \theta) = 0, & \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

and nonlinear wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u(t, \theta)}{\partial t^2} = \Delta u(t, \theta) + g(u(t, \theta)) + b(u(t, \theta)) \frac{\partial W_\Gamma}{\partial t}(t, \theta), & t > 0, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, \theta) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, \theta) = 0, & \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

have solutions.

At the moment there are only partial confirmations of the conjectures. Namely, the following result concerned with nonlinear heat equation (6.1) is contained in the paper [PeZa].

Theorem 6. *Assume that g and b are Lipschitz. Nonlinear heat equation (6.1) has a unique Markovian solution in $L^2_{\rho_\kappa}$, where $\kappa > 0$, $\rho_\kappa(\theta) = e^{-\kappa|\theta|}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, if either the spectral measure μ of W_Γ is finite or μ is infinite and has a density $\frac{d\mu}{d\theta}$ such that:*

- i) if $d = 1$, $\frac{d\mu}{d\theta} \in L^p$ for some $p \in [1, +\infty]$;*
- ii) if $d = 2$, $\frac{d\mu}{d\theta} \in L^p$ for some $p \in [1, +\infty]$;*
- iii) if $d \geq 3$, $\frac{d\mu}{d\theta} \in L^p$ for some $p \in [1, \frac{d}{d-2})$.*

A general existence result for nonlinear stochastic wave equations was proved in the paper [DaFr] by Dalang and Frangos. They showed the following result.

Theorem 7. *If correlation Γ is a function of the form $\Gamma(\theta) = f(|\theta|)$, with f positive and continuous outside 0, and if the dimension $d = 2$ and the condition (1.3) is satisfied then the equation (6.2) has a local solution.*

It is interesting to note that conditions of Theorem 5 imply that the reproducing kernel space S'_Γ consists only of functions, namely, $S'_\Gamma \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) + C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see [PeZa]. This seems to be essential for the definition of the stochastic integral with the integrands being multiplication operators. We therefore pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2. *If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) < +\infty$ then elements of S'_Γ are represented by locally integrable functions.*

The following proposition is a partial confirmation of Conjecture 2.

Proposition 6. *If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+|\lambda|^2} \mu(d\lambda) < +\infty$ then $\delta_{\{o\}} \notin S'_\Gamma$.*

Proof: Assume, to the contrary, that for some $u \in L^2_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$, $\widehat{u\mu} = \delta_{\{o\}}$. Then, for a constant $c > 0$, $u(x)\mu(dx) = c dx$ and $u(x) > 0$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and measure μ can be identified with its density $\gamma(x) = \frac{c}{u(x)}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1+|\lambda|^2} \gamma(x) dx < +\infty$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{c^2}{\gamma^2(x)} \gamma(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{c^2}{\gamma(x)} dx < +\infty.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\lambda|^2}} \frac{\gamma(x)}{\sqrt{1+|\lambda|^2}} dx &< +\infty, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\lambda|^2}} \frac{\sqrt{1+|\lambda|^2}}{\gamma(x)} dx &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Adding both inequalities and taking into account that $a + \frac{1}{a} \geq 2$ for all $a > 0$, one arrives at $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\lambda|^2}} d < +\infty$, a contradiction. ■

References

References

- [Ad] Adams, R., *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [DaFr] Dalang, R. and Frangos, N., *The stochastic wave equation in two spatial dimensions*, to appear in The Annals of Probability.
- [DaPrZa] DaPrato, G. and Zabczyk, J., *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [DaPrZa1] DaPrato, G. and Zabczyk, J., *Ergodicity for Infinite Dimensional Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [DaSa] Dawson, D. and Salehi, H., *Spatially homogeneous random evolutions*, J. Mult. Anal. 10 (1980), 141–180.
- [Fe] Feller, W., *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. 2, Wiley, New York, 1966.
- [Ga] Gaveau, B., *The Cauchy problem for the stochastic wave equation*, Bull. Sci. Math. 119 (1995), 381–407.
- [GeSh] Gel’fand, M. and Shilov, G., *Generalized Functions 1. Properties and Operations*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [GeVi] Gel’fand, M. and Vilenkin, N., *Generalized functions 4. Applications of Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [GlJa] Glimm, J. and Jaffe, A., *Quantum Physics, A Functional Integral Point of View*, Springer-Verlag, New Yprk, 1981.

- [HoSt] Holley, R. and Stroock, D., *Generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and infinite particle branching Brownian motion*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 14 (1978), 741–788.
- [Itô] Itô, K., *Foundations of Stochastic Differential Equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1984.
- [KaZa] Karczewska, A. and Zabczyk, J., *A note on stochastic wave equations*, Preprint 574, Institute of Math., Polish Acad. Sc., Warsaw (1997).
- [La] Landkof, N.S., *Foundations of Modern Potential Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [Mi] Mizohata, S., *The Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.
- [Mu] Mueller, C., *Long time existence for the wave equations with a noise term*, The Annals of Probability No. 1, 25 (1997), 133–151.
- [No] Nobel, J., *Evolution equation with Gaussian potential*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications 28 (1997), 103–135.
- [PeZa] Peszat, S. and Zabczyk, J., *Stochastic evolution equations with a spatially homogeneous Wiener process*, to appear in Stochastic Processes and Applications.
- [St] Stroock, D., *Probability Theory, An Analytic View*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Wa] Walsh, J., *An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations*, École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIV–1984, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin 1180 (1986), 265–439.